UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,253	03/01/2002	Barbara A. Rincavage	RINCAVAGE-1	4031
25101 Philip D. Freedi	7590 09/17/201 man PC	EXAMINER		
1449 Drake Lane			RINES, ROBERT D	
Lancaster, PA 17601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination	ent under
10/086,253	RINCAVAGE ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
R. David Rines	3623	
	-	-

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 27 July 2010.			
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The R reason(s):</li> </ol>	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following			
☐ The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.			
	ise continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.			
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.				
☐ The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 21-40. Claim(s) withdrawn from core	ed the status of the claim(s) is as follows:			
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by			
<u> </u>	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.			
All participants:				
(1) <u>R. David Rines</u> .	(3)/Vincent Millin/.			
(2) Beth V. Boswell.	(4)			
/R. David Rines/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623	/B. V. B./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3623			